

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,923	03/01/2004	Nancy C. Frye	063293.0110	1435	
5073	7590 08/0	906	EXAM	IINER	
	OTTS L.L.P.	PATTERSO	PATTERSON, MARIE D		
2001 ROSS A SUITE 600	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			3728	3728	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/07/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,923	FRYE, NANCY C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marie Patterson	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 49	53 U.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)	ithdrawn from consideration. -20 is/are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923

Art Unity 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species IX, figure 14 in the reply filed on 9/03/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 6, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/3/04.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings were received on 10/26/05. These drawings are not entered because they contain new matter, i.e. the thickness, shape, exact location, etc. of the midsole is considered to be new matter. In response applicants' arguments directed towards the new matter added to the drawings in order to show the midsole, it is noted that it is applicants' responsibility when originally filing the application to ensure that all claimed elements are clearly shown in the drawings, the addition of elements at a later date in most cases does add new matter and will not be entered.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the midsole(s) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923

Art Unit: 3728

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

5. The drawings are objected to because the specification states that 824 is a point at ½ the length of the shoe, however the point at 824 in figure 14 appears to be clearly in the forefoot portion of the shoe, this is confusing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 10 in their entirety are functional, vague, indefinite, and incomplete because it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language. It is not clear what further structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language since there is no further structural limitations recited in these claims. These claims do not recite any further structural limitations and therefore they do not further limit the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

A delice of the control of the contr

Art Unit: 3728

8. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Snabb (5491912).

First it is noted that the elected species was first disclosed in parent application 09/688308 filed 10/13/2000 and therefore the subject matter is only given the benefit date of 10/13/2000. Snabb shows a shoe with an upper, insole (24), and planar surfaced outsole (20, see figures 2 and 5 and the description of such) with the claimed shape (described in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40). In reference to claim 4, the location shown and described by Snabb appears to be the same location shown in applicants' figure 14 and is considered to be "substantially halfway" as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 8, 14, 16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb.

Snabb shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a plurality of midsoles located between the insole and outsole. Official notice is taken that the use of midsoles

Art Unit: 3728

between insoles and outsole is extremely well known and conventional and since applicant has not shown such or provided any detail of such, it is assumed that applicant is claiming a well known and conventional midsole(s). It would have been obvious to provide a midsole(s) in the shoe of Snabb is well known and conventional to increase comfort and cushioning.

11. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb in view of Borgeas (3990159).

Snabb as discussed above shows a shoe with an insole substantially as claimed except for the insole being "removable". Official notice is taken that it is well known and conventional to allow insoles to be removable to allow orthotic inserts to be used, insoles and/or shoes to be laundered, to adjust worn elements, etc. In response to applicants' request, Borgeas has been applied as showing the well known and conventional practice of allowing inserts/insoles to be removable and replaceable. It would have been obvious to make the insole removable as is well known and conventional and taught/shown by Borgeas in the shoe of Snabb to provide any of the known benefits of removability as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 7/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the drawing objections, most of these arguements have been addressed above. It is further noted that applicant argues that the specification clearly states "that midsoles...have substantially planar

Page 7

surfaces" (pages 7 and 8 of applicants response). There is no such basis for any shaped surfaces of a midsole in applicants' originally filed specification.

In response to applicants' arugments directed towards Snabb, Snabb clearly states that an insole is the layer which has the structure as claimed, this is specifically discussed and clearly disclosed in Snabb in column 2 lines 15-45 and in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40. The flatness argued by applicant clearly refers to the upper surface being flat, not referring to the thickness of the insole as Snabb clearly states that the insole is sloped and that the thickness of the insole varies (for example see column 2 lines 14-60). In reference to figure 2, it appears that this figure merely shown the combination of the insole and the outsole without specifically showing where the two meet. Furthermore it is noted that Snabb clearly states in column 4 lines 50-55 that the slope angle can be adjusted by placing inserts on the inner sole. It is further noted that Snaab clearly shows the insole and outsole as separate layers in figure 5.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923 Page 8

Art Unit: 3728

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (572)272-8300 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

> Marie Patterson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3728